## Factors determining perceptual and acoustic similarity between

## Introduction and hypotheses

* The aim: to examine the relationship between L3 Norwegian and L2 English vowel assimilation patterns to L1 Polish vowel categories and the acoustic distance between the vowels operationalized as the Euclidean distance.
* So far studies focused on L2: perceptual assimilation (Best \& Tyler 2007, Tyler et al. 2014), the relationship between vowel perception and their acoustic parameters (Strange et al. 2003, Escudero et al. 2012, Alispahic et. al. 2017) and perception of front rounded vowels (Gottfried 1984, Polka 1995, Strange, Bohn and Nishi 2004).
* Hypotheses for L1-L2-L3 perceptual and acoustic similarity:
* H1: The smaller the Euclidean distance between the two vowels, the higher the likelihood of assimilating a given L2/L3 vowel to a Polish category. We expect less reliance on ED in later testing times.
* H2: Lip rounding may influence assimilation patterns.
* H3: The Euclidean distance predicts assimilation better in L3 than in L2.
* H4: If we take into account the Euclidean distance, L2 vowels should be perceived as worse examplars of L1 categories than L3 vowels.


## Methods

* Languages: L1 Polish, L2 English (12.23 yrs of learning on average), L3 Norwegian (beginners).
* Three testing times after the onset of L3 Norwegian learning: T1 -- two months, T2 -- five months and T3 -- nine months.
* Participants: at T1 -- 24, mean age 19.86, at T2 and T3 -- 15.
* Tasks: assimilation of 16 Norwegian and 10 English vowels to six Polish vowel categories and goodness of fit rating, carried out in PsychoPy (Peirce et al. 2019).
* The stimuli in /dVd/ framework
* Randomised, $3 x$ each (e.g., dåd, did)
* Orthographic labels for six Polish vowel categories /i, i, e, a, $\mathrm{J}, \mathrm{u} /$
- Likert scale: 1 (weak fit) -- 7 (good fit)
* Euclidean distance operationalized as



## Results and analysis



